USDC SDNY DOCUMENT

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

No. 20-cv-3199 (CM)

TE FILED: 10 4 2003

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
WESTERN WATERPROOFING COMPANY, INC. D/B/A WESTERN SPECIALTY CONTRACTORS,
Plaintiff,
V.
ZURICH AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY, ALLIED WORLD SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, BDG GOTHAM RESIDENTIAL, LLC, ZDG, LLC, AND AMERICAN ZURICH INSURANCE COMPANY,
Defendants,
and
STARR INDEMNITY & LIABILITY COMPANY, NAVIGATORS INSURANCE COMPANY, PHILADELPHIA INDEMNITY INSURANCE COMPANY, MARKEL AMERICAN INSURANCE COMPANY, AND BERKLEY INSURANCE COMPANY,
Intervening Defendants.
BDG GOTHAM RESIDENTIAL, LLC and ZDG, LLC,
Counterclaim Plaintiffs,
V.
WESTERN WATERPROOFING COMPANY, INC. D/B/A WESTERN SPECIALTY CONTRACTORS,
Counterclaim Defendant.

ORDER DIRECTING RESPONSE

McMahon, J.:

Gotham, ZDG and Western have ten business days to apprise the court of any reason why the court should not enter an order dismissing Gotham's and ZDG's counterclaims for indemnification and contribution on the merits. I believe the answer is apparent from the pleadings and the law as I understand it, but I do not wish to finalize my decision without giving the parties notice that I am on the verge of dismissing the counterclaims on the merits and asking for any argument that would bar me from doing so. Please do not discuss issues of former adjudication. Your response to this order is limited to ten pages; do not bother acquainting me with things I already know.

Dated: October 4, 2023

HSD1